



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,525	10/759,525 01/16/2004		Edward M. Goldsmith	949797-100029US	7039	
34026	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
JONES DA	Υ		GRAHAM, MARK S			
555 WEST I	FIFTH ST	FREET, SUITE 4600				
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90013-1025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3711			
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	El			
	Office Antique Commence	10/759,5	25	GOLDSMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		Mark S. G		3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput power of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no evoly within the stat will apply and we, cause the app	ent, however, may a repty be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lanuary 200	4 .					
·		s action is n		(
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 30-37,40 and 42-49 is/are pending in	the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 30-37,40 and 42-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have bee ts have bee ority docume	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive	on No				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date)		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/759,525

Art Unit: 3711

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-37, 40, 42-49, 110, 116-118, 121, and 122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiitola in view of Hall. Tiitola discloses the claimed device with the exception of the means by which the blade is fastened to the stick. However, as disclosed by Hall it is known in the art to use a connection portion on the heel of the blade such as that claimed. It would have been obvious to have used such a connection means on the heel of Tiitola's blade as well if such was deemed a more secure connection.

With regard to claim 43, Tiitola's fiber's 44 where they occur in the bridges are non-continuous. Also, Tiitola's claims are not limited to continuous strands and furthermore removing the continuous nature of the strands with the corresponding loss of strength would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Adachi, Lallemand, Baum, McKinnon et al., Burns, and Quigley et al. have been cited for interest because they disclose similar blades.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/759,525. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3711

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S.

Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 3/2/05

Mark S. Graham
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3711